# Firearm Behavioral Practices and Suicide Attempt and Ideation in U.S. Army Soldiers and Veterans



Catherine L. Dempsey<sup>1,2</sup>, David M. Benedek<sup>1</sup>, James C. West<sup>1</sup>, Matthew K. Nock<sup>3</sup>, Kelly L. Zuromski<sup>3</sup>, Jingning Ao<sup>1,2</sup>, Matthew W. Georg<sup>1,2</sup>, Britany Mullins-Hussain<sup>1,2</sup>, Alysse Weinberg<sup>1,2</sup>, Robert M. Bossarte<sup>4</sup> and Robert J. Ursano<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress, Department of Psychiatry, Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, MD;
<sup>2</sup> Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, MD;
<sup>3</sup> Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA; <sup>4</sup> University of South Florida

# BACKGROUND

hjf

- · Suicide decedents are more likely to own one or more handguns, store a loaded gun at home and publicly carry a gun when not required for military duty. The connection of these three items is associated with a three-fold increase in the odds of suicide death.<sup>1</sup>
- Since service members are more likely than civilians to own firearms, there is a need to understand the connection between ownership, use, accessibility and storage practices and suicide risk in order to help identify potential targets for suicide prevention strategies.
- · Our overall objective is to develop an understanding of service members' firearm attitudes and behaviors and suicide risk.



# **METHODS**

- This study examined data obtained from Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers - Longitudinal Study (STARRS-LS) Wave 2 and included soldiers who consented to administrative data linkage (n = 12,023) between 2011 and 2019.<sup>2</sup>
- Specific survey responses assessed number of firearms in the home, storage practices and carrying practices, as well as selfreported suicidal ideation and attempts.
- OR statistics with 95% CIs were obtained from separate univariate logistic regression models predicting suicide attempt and ideation (12 month and lifetime).
- The false discovery rate (fdr) was used to correct for multiple comparisons.<sup>3</sup>
- All tests are significant at  $p \le 0.05$  and were two-sided.
- Analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.4.<sup>4</sup>

• Service members who reported storing a gun loaded and unlocked were more likely to report a suicide attempt in the past year (OR = 4.9 [95% CI = (1.8, 13.5)]) and in their lifetime (OR = 1.6 [95%) CI = (1.0, 2.3)].

RESULTS

- Unexpectedly, carrying a weapon other than a gun (e.g., a knife, mace or a club) when out in the neighborhood was associated with increased risk of a suicide attempt in the past year (OR = 16.5 [95% CI = (4.9, 55.5)] and lifetime (OR = 1.7 [95% CI = (1.1, 2.6)]).
- We found similar trends for suicidal ideation in the past year and lifetime.

Table 1. Gun Ownership, Storage and Behavioral Practicesand Suicide Attempt		12 Month SA		Lifetime SA	
		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Number of Guns Owned	1 gun vs 0 gun	0.3	(0.1, 0.5)	0.7	(0.5, 1.1)
	2+ guns vs 0 gun	0.7	(0.4, 1.4)	0.6	(0.5, 0.8)
Storage of Guns	Loaded/Unlocked vs Unloaded	4.9	(1.8, 13.5)	1.6	(1.0, 2.3)
	Loaded/Locked vs Unloaded	1.7	(0.5, 5.9)	1.6	(1.0, 2.5)
	No gun vs Unloaded	3.6	(1.7, 7.8)	1.9	(1.5, 2.5)
Carry Gun While Out in the Neighborhood	Little/Some of the time vs Never*	0.3	(0.1, 0.9)	0.5	(0.3, 0.7)
	All/Most of the time vs Never*	1.5	(0.7, 3.2)	1.1	(0.8, 1.4)
Carry Other Weapons When Out in the Neighborhood	Little/Some of the time vs Never	1.4	(0.2, 8.0)	0.8	(0.5, 1.3)
	All/Most of the time vs Never	16.5	(4.9, 55.5)	1.7	(1.1, 2.6)

Boldfaced values are statistically significant at *p*-value  $\leq 0.05$ \*Never includes those that do not own a gun.

Table 2. Gun Ownership, Storage and Behavioral Practicesand Suicidal Ideation		
2+ guns vs 0 gun	0.8	(
Loaded/Unlocked vs Unloaded	1.6	(
Loaded/Locked vs Unloaded	1.1	(
No gun vs Unloaded	1.4	(
Little/Some of the time vs Never*	0.9	(
All/Most of the time vs Never*	0.9	(
Little/Some of the time vs Never	1.5	
All/Most of the time vs Never	1.4	
	1 gun vs 0 gun 2+ guns vs 0 gun Loaded/Unlocked vs Unloaded Loaded/Locked vs Unloaded No gun vs Unloaded Little/Some of the time vs Never* All/Most of the time vs Never*	OR1 gun vs 0 gun0.82+ guns vs 0 gun0.8Loaded/Unlocked vs Unloaded1.6Loaded/Locked vs Unloaded1.1No gun vs Unloaded1.4Little/Some of the time vs Never*0.9All/Most of the time vs Never*0.9Little/Some of the time vs Never1.5

Boldfaced values are statistically significant at *p*-value  $\leq 0.05$ . \*Never includes those that do not own a gun.



## DISCUSSION

onth SI Lifetime SI 95% CI OR 95% CI 0.9 (0.7, 1.0)(0.7, 1.1)(0.7, 1.0)1.0 (0.8, 1.1)(1.3, 2.0)1.4 (1.2, 1.7) 0.9 (0.8, 1.1)(0.9, 1.4)1.2 (1.0, 1.3)(1.2, 1.7)(0.7, 1.1)0.9 (0.8, 1.1)(0.7, 1.0)0.9 (0.8, 1.1)(1.1, 2.0)1.4 (1.1, 1.8) 1.6 (1.1, 1.7)(1.3, 1.8)

- In summary, we found unsafe storage of loaded guns significantly increased the odds of suicide attempt and ideation.
- We learned carrying a weapon other than a gun around the neighborhood increased the odds of suicide attempt and ideation.
- These findings suggest that safe storage interventions (for firearms and other weapons) may have the potential to alter suicide risk among service members with personal firearms in their homes.



### References:

- 1. Dempsey, C. L., Benedek, D. M., Zuromski, K. L., Riggs-Donovan, C., Ng, T. H. H., Nock, M. K.,... Ursano, R. J. (2019). Association of firearm ownership, use, accessibility, and storage practices with suicide risk among US Army soldiers. JAMA Network Open, 2(6), e195383e195383
- 2. Ursano RJ, Colpe LJ, Heeringa SG, Kessler RC, Schoenbaum M, Stein MB; Army STARRS collaborators. The Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). Psychiatry. 014;77(2):107-119. doi:10.1521/psyc.2014.77.2.107
- 3. Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Statistical Methodology), 57(1), 289-300. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2346101
- 4. SAS Institute Inc. (2018)SAS(Version 9.4) [Computer software]. https://www.sas.com/

### Acknowledgements:

Funding: This research project is supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of a financial assistance award totaling \$700,000 with 100 percent funded by CDC/HHS. The contents are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement, by CDC/HHS, or the U.S. Government.

Disclaimer: The opinions and assertions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences or the Department of Defense. The contents of this presentation are the sole responsibility of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views, opinions or policies of The Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc. Mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. The appearance of U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) visual information does not imply or constitute DoD endorsement.

Acknowledgement: Data from this study came from Army STARRS and STARRS-LS. Army STARRS was sponsored and funded by the Department of the Army and National Institute of Mental Health (U01MH087981) and STARRS-LS was sponsored and funded by the Department of Defense (USUHS grant number HU0001-15-2-0004). The contents of this presentation are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Army STARRS investigators, funders, Department of the Army, or Department of Defense